Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

	1. Project Nu	umber (Assigned by federal unit):OR117-16
2. Project Name: Galice Cr 4. Project Sponsor: Jim Roj 6. Sponsors Phone # 541-4	per GPRA	* *
7. Sponsor's E-mail: <u>iroper@</u>		
 8. Project Location (attach property) a. 4th Field Watershed b. 5th Field Watershed 	Name and HUC #(if kn	
c. Legal Location:Township35	Range 8	Section(s) 5
Township		Section(s)
Township		Section(s)
Township	Range	_ Section(s)
d. BLM District	Medford	e. BLM Resource Area Grants Pass
f. National Forest		
h. State / Private / Othe		Yes ⊠ No

9. Statement of Project Goals and Objectives: Galice Access Road 34-8-36 provides access to the coast and lower Rogue River. Commercial guides use the road to sustain there various businesses. Several private owners have facilities on the river which are open to the public during the operating season. Galice Access road accesses thousands of acres of Forest Service and BLM land. Objective will be to remove slide material and stabilize the area. Galice Creek is a steelhead and Coho Salmon stream, debris from the slide will be removed to stop silt contamination of the creek.

10. Project Description: (Provide concise description of project and attach map.) Slide will be removed from Galice Access Road and the slope stabilized. Slide material will be hauled and deposited at locations designated approximately two miles below and four miles above the slide area. The slide and effected area will be scalped, drilled and blasted to stabilize the area prior to removal of material.

June 07, 2001

Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

11. Coordination of this project with other related project(s) on adjacent lands?							
	☐ Yes ☑ No If yes, then describe	e.					
12.	How does proposed project meet purposes	of the I	Legislation? [Sec. 203(b)(1)]				
\boxtimes							
	Implements stewardship objectives that enhance forest ecosystems. [Sec. 2(b)]						
\boxtimes	Restores and improves land health. [Sec. 2(b)]						
\boxtimes	Restores water quality. [Sec. 2(b)]						
13.	Project Type (check one) [Sec. 203(b)(1)]						
⊠	Road Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]		Trail Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]				
	Road Decommission/Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]		Trail Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]				
	Other Infrastructure Maintenance (specify):		[Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]				
	Soil Productivity Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(B)]		Forest Health Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(C)]				
\boxtimes	Watershed Restoration & Mntc. [Sec. 2(b)(2)(D)]		Wildlife Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)]				
\boxtimes	Fish Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)]		Control of Noxious Weeds [Sec. 2(b)(2)(F)]				
	Reestablish Native Species [Sec. 2(b)(2)(G)]						
\boxtimes	Other Project Type (specify) [Sec. 2(b)(2)]: Emerg	ency road	d repair to prevent environmental damage.				
14.	Measure of Project Accomplishments/Exp	ected O	utcomes [Sec. 203(b)(5)]				
		Total Mil					
		ed People	e Reached (for environmental education projects):0				
	No. of Laborer Days:10 Other (specify):						
1.	oner (speerly).						
15.	Duration of Project and Estimated Comple	tion Dat	te [Sec. 203(b)(2)]: 20 days 5/30/02				
16.	Target Species Benefited (if applicable):						
	Coho Salmon and Steelhead Trout						

June 07, 2001 2

Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

17. How will cooperative relationships among people that use federal lands be improved? [Sec. 2(b)(3)]

Road provides access to the coast, lower Rogue River, Forest Service and BLM lands. Project will meet health and safety requirements for forest roads.

18. How is this project in the best public interest? [Sec. 203(b)(7)] Identify benefits to communities?

Improves Coho Salmon habitat, improves public safety.

19. How does project benefit federal lands/resources?

Improves Coho Salmon habitat, water quality, reduces movement of sediment.

20. Status of Project Planning	
a. NEPA Complete: 🔲 Yes 🛛 No	b. If No, give est. date of completion: 2/1/02
c. NMFS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete:	☐ Yes ☑ No ☑ Not Applicable
d. USFWS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete:	☐ Yes ☒ No ☒ Not Applicable
e. Survey & Manage Complete:	☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Not Applicable
f. DSL/ODFW* Permits Obtained:	☐ Yes ☒ No ☒ Not Applicable
g. DLS/COE* 404 Fill/Removal Permit Obtained	: ☐ Yes ☒ No ☒ Not Applicable
h. SHPO* Concurrence Received:	☐ Yes ☒ No ☒ Not Applicable
i. Project Design(s) Completed:	✓ Yes 🖵 No
"Note" NEPA requirements will be meet thro	
* DSL = Dept. of State Lands, ODFW = Oregon Dept. of Fish	
State Historic Preservation Officer	
21 D 13/4 () 64 P1 4	
21. Proposed Method(s) of Accomplishment	Federal Workforce
☑ Contract	- rederat workforce
County Workforce	Volunteers
Other (specify):	
22 Will the Decision Community Membership Me	4
22. Will the Project Generate Merchantable Ma	iterials? (Sec. 204(e)(3))
L Yes ⊠ No	
23. Anticipated Project Costs [Sec. 203(b)(3)]	
a. Total County Title II Funds Requested: \$60,0	00
b. Is this a multi-year funding request? \square Yes	
c. FY02 Request: \$	
d. FY03 Request: \$	-
e. FY04 Request: \$	

June 07, 2001 3

Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

**	Fed. Agency Appropriated Contribution	Requested County Title II Contribution	Other Contributions	Total Available
Item	[Sec. 203(b)(4)]	[Sec. 203(b)(4)]	[Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Funds
24. Field Work & Site Surveys	\$2,500			
25. NEPA & Sec.7 ESA Consultation	\$2,500			
26. Permit Acquisition	0			
27. Project Design & Engineering	\$500			
28. Contract Preparation	\$500			
29. Contract Administration	\$500			
30. Contract Cost		\$60,000		
31. Workforce Cost				
32. Materials & Supplies				
33. Monitoring				
34. Other				
35. Project Subtotal				
36. Indirect Costs (Overhead) (per year for multiple year projects)				
37. Total Cost Estimate	\$6,500	\$60,000	\$	\$

38. Identify Source(s) of Other Funding in Column C. Above [Sec. 203(b)(4)] There is no other funding available

June 07, 2001

Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

39. Monitoring Plan (Se	ec.203(b)(6)
-------------------------	--------------

43 •
r this
s each year

b. How will the project be evaluated to determine how well the proposed project contributes towards local employment and/or training opportunities, including summer youth jobs programs such as the Youth Conservation Corps? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item? Repot will be filled with Contracting Officer who tracks local employment. This is not a youth employment for opportunity

c.What methods and measures of evaluation will be established to determine how well the proposed project improves the use of, or added value to, any products removed from National Forest System lands consistent with the purposes of this Act? [Sec. 203(b)(6) and Sec. 204(e)(3)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item? Roads are monitored by BLM's O&C permit system for haul on Public lands.

d. Identify total funding needed to carry out specified monitoring tasks (Table 1, Item 33)

Amount: \$300.00

June 07, 2001 5